defendant admits the claim in Court. And it is also prayed that the refund may be ordered to be made in cases in which the parties compromise out of Court.

(*b*) Civil Courts refund half the Court-fee paid in cases amicably settled. It is prayed that the same concession may be shown in cases of *ex-parte* and also in cases decreed on the admission of the defendant.

Reply of Government.—(*Vide* Subject No. 11.)

SUBJECT No. 46.

The use of "water mark" three-pie paper.

(*a*) Messrs. K. Venkatasubbaiya, Municipal Member, Nanjangud Municipality; S. R. Balakrishna Rao, B.A., LL.B. Advocate, Shimoga Municipality; C. Subba Rao B.A., B.L., Advocate, Nagar Sabha.

(*b*) Messrs. Venkatappa, Chikkarayana Reddi and Venkataramappa of Bagepalli Taluk; M. S. Ramachariar and C. B. Gopala Rao of Kolar Municipality; Huchirappa, Anekal.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) The Chief Court has ruled that the "water mark" three-pie paper should be used for drawing up memorandum, etc., in connection with the issue of processes. People are sustaining loss by this. It is therefore requested that it may be ordered that the three-pie paper should be used only for those records which are not destroyed, but filed in the "A Farist" and that it is unnecessary for the "B Farist" papers which are destroyed.

(*b*) The quarter-anna paper prescribed for use in Government transactions is rough and brittle and unfit for the preservation of records. A better and more fibrous paper may be supplied in its stead.

Reply of Government—The Chief Court wish to avoid the use of fragile and bad paper, and they consider the three-pie paper to be cheap and suitable. The Government cannot interfere in the matter.

SUBJECT No. 47.

Process-fees.

(*a*) Messrs. Chandrasekharaiya, Nanjangud Taluk; Danti Naranappa of Sidlaghatta; Hutcheeregowda, Gubbi Taluk.

(*b*) Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao, B.A. LL.B., Advocate, Shimoga Municipality; and Mr. C. Subba Rao, B.A., B.L., Advocate, Nagar Sabha.

Mr. M. C. Ranga Iyengar, City Municipality, Mysore.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) It has been recently ordered that in execution cases, eight annas should be given for the issue of a notice to each defendant though there are many defendants in the same village, and that Rs. 1-8-0 worth of stamps should be produced for the issue of a sale-warrant of movable and immovable property. As the value of stamp now increases court costs to the disadvantage of parties, the procedure which was formerly in force may be ordered to be enforced.

(*b*) The process-fee payable in case of sale-warrants has been lately ruled to be Rs. 1-8-0. Thus the cost of bringing movables of any value for sale is as follows :—

Warrant of attachment	Rs.	1	0	0
Claim notice		0	8	0
Sale proclamation		0	8	0
Sale warrant		1	8	0
Total		3	8	0

In the case of immovables a sum of another eight annas is charged. When the value of property attached is very small, say, Rs. 10, the cost is quite out of proportion. It